



“HENRY COANDA”
AIR FORCE ACADEMY
ROMANIA



GERMANY



“GENERAL M.R. STEFANIK”
ARMED FORCES ACADEMY
SLOVAK REPUBLIC

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE of SCIENTIFIC PAPER
AFASES 2011
Brasov, 26-28 May 2011

A SPLITTING ALGORITHM FOR VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

Corina L. CHIRIAC

Bioterra University, Bucharest, Romania

Abstract: Let X be a Banach space, X^* its dual, (\cdot, \cdot) the duality on $X^* \times X$, and $T: X \rightarrow X^*$ an operator (nonlinear and multi-valued, in general), and $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is a convex lower semi-continuous function with the effective domain $D(\varphi) = \{v \in X \mid \varphi(v) < \infty\}$. Given a closed convex subset $K \subset X$ and $f \in X^*$, the problem of finding $u \in K$ such that $(Tu - f, v - u) + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) \geq 0$ for all $v \in D(\varphi)$ is called a variational inequality. In this paper we applied a splitting algorithm for the stationary inclusion $Tu + \partial\varphi(u) \ni 0$, equivalent with a variational inequality, when T is a maximal monotone mapping on a Hilbert space H . Also we establish conditions to prove the weak convergence of the algorithm.

Mathematics Subject Classifications 2010: 47J20, 47J25, 49J40.

Keywords: Douglas-Rachford algorithm, splitting algorithm, variational inequality.

1. INTRODUCTION

A fundamental algorithm for finding roots of a monotone operator is the *proximal point algorithm* (see [12]). This method requires evaluation of *resolvent* operators of the form $(I + \lambda S)^{-1}$, where S is monotone and set-valued, λ is a positive scalar, and I denotes the identity mapping. The main difficulty with the method is that $I + \lambda S$ may be hard to invert, depending on the nature of S . One alternative is to find maximal monotone operators W and V such that $W + V = S$, but $I + \lambda W$ and $I + \lambda V$ are easier to invert than $I + \lambda S$. One can then devise an algorithm that uses only operators of the form $(I + \lambda W)^{-1}$ and $(I + \lambda V)^{-1}$, rather than $(I + \lambda(W + V))^{-1}$. Such an approach is called a *splitting method*, and is inspired by well-established techniques from numerical linear algebra (see, for example [10]).

A number of authors have extensively studied monotone operator, splitting methods, which fall into four principal classes: forward-backward [13], double-backward [8], Peaceman-Rachford [9], and Douglas-Rachford [9].

We will focus on the Peaceman-Rachford algorithm, for variational inequalities in the case of multi-valued monotone operators. We will prove the convergence of this algorithm.

2. SPLITTING ALGORITHMS FOR STATIONARY PROBLEMS

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $S: H \rightarrow 2^H$ be a monotone operator. We study the nonlinear multi-valued stationary equation $Su \ni 0$.

We consider the case when $S = W + V$ and W, V are maximal monotone. For that we get

V, W single-valued operators. In conclusion we have to solve the inclusion

$$Wu + Vu \ni 0 \quad (1)$$

equivalent with

$$u + \lambda Wu \ni (u - \lambda Vu),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant. Since W is a maximal monotone operator, we deduce that

$$u = (I + \lambda W)^{-1}(I - \lambda V)u. \quad (2)$$

Analogously, (1) can be written as

$$u + \lambda Vu \ni (u - \lambda Wu),$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a constant, and finally we obtain

$$u = (I + \lambda V)^{-1}(I - \lambda W)u. \quad (3)$$

Combining (2) and (3) we have

$$u = (I + \lambda V)^{-1}(I - \lambda W)(I + \lambda W)^{-1}(I - \lambda V)u. \quad (4)$$

Relation (4) suggests the following algorithm

$$u^{n+1} = (I + \lambda V)^{-1}(I - \lambda W)(I + \lambda W)^{-1}(I - \lambda V)u^n \quad (5)$$

which was introduced, in the case of linear operators, by Peaceman-Rachford (see [11]).

When V is single-valued, we have the identity

$$(I + \lambda V)(I + \lambda V)^{-1} = I. \quad (6)$$

From (2) and (6), we obtain

$$u = (I + \lambda V)^{-1}[(I + \lambda W)^{-1}(I - \lambda V) + \lambda V]u. \quad (7)$$

Relation (7) suggests the iterative scheme

$$u^{n+1} = (I + \lambda V)^{-1}[(I + \lambda W)^{-1}(I - \lambda V) + \lambda V]u^n \quad (8)$$

which was introduced by Douglas-Rachford [6].

These algorithms are both unconditionally stable (u^n remains bounded independently of n for any λ). This set of properties is remarkable if we compare them to what we get with more standard algorithms.

The first one is

$$u^{n+1} = (I + \lambda W)^{-1}(I - \lambda V)u^n, \quad (9)$$

which is not unconditionally stable, but converges to the solution of the stationary problem for λ sufficiently small if V is Lipschitz continuous (see [7], [4]).

The second one is

$$u^{n+1} = (I + \lambda W)^{-1}(I + \lambda V)^{-1}u^n,$$

which is unconditionally stable but does not converge to the solution of the stationary problem for any λ , except with some special modification (see Lions [8]).

All these are called *splitting algorithms* since, up to the introduction of a fractionary step, they can be interpreted as the combination of a step for W and a step for V . As an example, (9) can be written

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}(u^{n+1/2} - u^n) + Vu^n = 0,$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}(u^{n+1} - u^{n+1/2}) + Wu^{n+1} = 0,$$

which shows that (9) results from the combination of a forward step on V and backward step on W . In this section, we show that these algorithms can be used to solve variational inequalities

We shall assume that $T: H \rightarrow 2^H$ is a maximal monotone operator. We denote by $D(T)$ the domain of T and by

$$J_T^\lambda = (I + \lambda T)^{-1}$$

the resolvent of T . Let $\varphi: H \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper convex lower semi-continuous (l.s.c) function and let f be defined on H . We consider the following variational inequality:

Find $u \in D(\varphi)$ such that there exists $w \in Tu$ satisfying

$$(w - f, v - u) + \varphi(v) - \varphi(u) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\varphi). \quad (10)$$

It is easy to notice that the above problem is equivalent with the problem:

Find $u \in H$ such that

$$Tu + \partial\varphi(u) \ni f \quad (11)$$

where $\partial\varphi$ is the subdifferential of φ .

We shall assume that the problem (10) has at least one solution. Hence there exists $u \in H$, $t \in Tu$, $s \in \partial\varphi(u)$ such that $t + s = f$. We do not affect the generalization of the problem if we assume in the sequel that $f \equiv 0$.

Since T and $\partial\varphi$ are maximal monotone operators, we can apply the Peaceman-Rachford algorithm to solve the problem:

Find $u \in H$ such that

$$Tu + \partial\varphi(u) \ni 0. \quad (12)$$

In the case considered here, where T and $\partial\varphi$ are multi-valued, we need to make precise the definition of the algorithms (5) and (8). For both, $u^0 \in D(T)$ is given, and we choose $t^0 \in Tu^0$ and set $v^0 = u^0 + \lambda t^0$ in such a way that $u^0 = J_T^\lambda(v^0)$. We then define by induction the sequence $\{v^n\}$ in the following way:

Algorithm

$$v^{n+1} = (2J_{\partial\varphi}^\lambda - I)(2J_T^\lambda - I)v^n \quad (13)$$

Convergence of the Algorithm. We obtain the algorithm above after a simple computation. Since $t + s = 0$ and $v = u + \lambda t$ in such way that $u = J_T^\lambda(v)$, we have



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE of SCIENTIFIC PAPER
AFASES 2011
Brasov, 26-28 May 2011

$$s=-t, u+\lambda s=u-\lambda t=2u-u-\lambda t=2J_T^\lambda(v)-v.$$

From this relation, we obtain

$$u=J_{\partial\varphi}^\lambda(2J_T^\lambda-I)v,$$

$$2u=2J_{\partial\varphi}^\lambda(2J_T^\lambda-I)v,$$

$$v+2J_T^\lambda(v)-v=2J_{\partial\varphi}^\lambda(2J_T^\lambda-I)v,$$

$$v=(2J_{\partial\varphi}^\lambda-I)(2J_T^\lambda-I)v,$$

relation which suggests our algorithm, and the following notations

$$v=u+\lambda t, w=u+\lambda s,$$

$$w^n=2u^n-v^n, t^n=\frac{1}{\lambda}(v^n-u^n), s^n=\frac{1}{2\lambda}(w^n-v^{n+1}).$$

We prove the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *Under the assumption: there exists $u \in H, t \in Tu, s \in \partial\varphi(u)$ such that $t+s=0$, the sequences $\{u^n\}, \{v^n\}, \{w^n\}, \{s^n\}, \{t^n\}$, remain bounded. Moreover*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t^n - t, u^n - u) = 0 \quad (14)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s^n - s, \frac{v^{n+1} + w^n}{2} - u) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Proof. From the definition of t^n , we have $v^n = u^n + \lambda t^n$. As $u^n = J_T^\lambda v^n$, we have $v^n \in u^n + \lambda Tu^n$, hence $t^n \in Tu^n$. From the monotonicity of T , we get:

$$0 \leq (t^n - t, u^n - u) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} (\|v^n - v\|^2 - \|w^n - w\|^2), \quad (16)$$

using the relations

$$u^n = \frac{1}{2}(v^n + w^n), u = \frac{1}{2}(v + w),$$

$$t^n = \frac{1}{2\lambda}(v^n - w^n), t = \frac{1}{2\lambda}(v - w).$$

On the other hand, from (13), we have

$$v^{n+1} = (2J_{\partial\varphi}^\lambda - I)w^n \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(v^{n+1} + w^n) = J_{\partial\varphi}^\lambda(w^n).$$

Hence

$$w^n \in \frac{v^{n+1} + w^n}{2} + \lambda \partial\varphi\left(\frac{v^{n+1} + w^n}{2}\right),$$

$$s^n = \frac{w^n - v^{n+1}}{2\lambda} \in \partial\varphi\left(\frac{v^{n+1} + w^n}{2}\right).$$

From the monotonicity of $\partial\varphi$ we deduce

$$0 \leq (s^n - s, \frac{v^{n+1} + w^n}{2} - u) = \left(\frac{w^n - v^{n+1}}{2\lambda} - \frac{w - v}{2\lambda}, \frac{w^n + v^{n+1}}{2} - \frac{w + v}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} (\|w^n - w\|^2 - \|v^{n+1} - v\|^2). \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17) we obtain the inequalities

$$\|v^{n+1} - v\|^2 \leq \|w^n - w\|^2 \leq \|v^n - v\|^2,$$

which show that the sequences $\{v^n\}, \{w^n\}$ are bounded. Implicitly, $\{u^n\}$ is bounded. Finally, as

$$\|v^n - v\|^2 - \|v^{n+1} - v\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

(16), (17) imply (14) and (15). ■

Definition 2.2. We say that $A: H \rightarrow H$ satisfies condition (C) if for all $x^n, x \in D(A)$ such that Ax^n is bounded, $x^n \rightharpoonup \bar{x}$ (weak convergence), and

$$(Ax^n - Ax, x^n - x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ imply } x = \bar{x}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *If T is single-valued and satisfies condition (C), then the sequence $\{u^n\}$ obtained from Algorithm converges weakly to u , the solution of (12), which is unique.*

Proof. We first prove uniqueness. Let u_1, u_2 , be two solutions of (12). We have, using the monotonicity of $\partial\varphi$,

$$0 \leq (Tu_1 - Tu_2, u_1 - u_2) = -(\partial\varphi(u_1) - \partial\varphi(u_2), u_1 - u_2) \leq 0,$$

hence $(Tu_1 - Tu_2, u_1 - u_2) = 0$ which, together with condition (C) implies $u_1 = u_2$.

Let $\{u^{n_i}\}$ be a subsequence of the bounded sequence $\{u^n\}$ such that $u^{n_i} \rightharpoonup \bar{u}$. From (14) and condition (C), one gets $u = \bar{u}$, and from the uniqueness, the whole sequence $\{u^n\}$ converges weakly to u . ■

Remark 2.4. We can prove that, if a subsequence $\{v^{n_i}\}$ of $\{v^n\}$ is bounded, then

the problem (12) has one solution u . Indeed, let $A=(2J_{\partial\varphi}^{\lambda}-I)(2J_T^{\lambda}-I)$, we have $v^{n+1}=Av^n$. As $2J_{\partial\varphi}^{\lambda}-I$ and $2J_T^{\lambda}-I$ are nonexpansive, A itself is nonexpansive. Because the subsequence $\{v^{n_i}\}$ is bounded, we obtain that A has a fixed point v , with $Av=v$. Let $u=J_T^{\lambda}v$ we have $u\in D(T)$ and $v=(2J_{\partial\varphi}^{\lambda}-I)(2u-v)$, $u=J_{\partial\varphi}^{\lambda}(2u-v)$. Hence $u\in D(\partial\varphi)$. Let $t\in Tu$ satisfy $v=u+\lambda t$. We have $u=J_{\partial\varphi}^{\lambda}(u-\lambda t)\Rightarrow(u-\lambda t)\in u+\lambda\partial\varphi(u)$ that is $-t\in\partial\varphi(u)$, hence u is a solution of the problem (12).

3. REFERENCES

1. J.B.Baillon, Quelques propriétés de convergence asymptotique pour les contractions impaires, *C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris, Série A* 283(1976), p. 587-590.
2. H.Brézis, *Opérateurs Maximaux Monotones et Semigroupes de Contraction dans les Espaces de Hilbert*, Lectures Notes no. 5, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
3. F.E. Browder and W.V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 20 (1967), p. 197-228.
4. R.E. Bruck, An iterative solution of a variational inequality for certain monotone operators in Hilbert spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 81 (1975), p. 890-892.
5. C. Chiriac, O metoda iterativa pentru inegalitati variationale, *Analele Facultatii de Inginerie din Hunedoara*, Tomul 3, fasc. 5 (2001), p. 1-4.
6. J. Douglas and H.H. Rachford, On the numerical solution of the heat conduction problem in 2 and 3 space variables, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 82 (1956), p. 421-439.
7. A.A. Goldstein, Convex programming in Hilbert spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 70 (1964), p. 709-710.
8. P.-L. Lions, Une méthode itérative de résolution d'une inéquation variationnelle, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* 31 (1978), p. 204-208.
9. P.-L. Lions and B. Mercier, Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 16 (1979), p. 964-979.
10. G.I. Marchuk, *Methods of Numerical Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
11. D.H. Peaceman and H.H. Rachford, The numerical solution of parabolic elliptic differential equations, *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.* 3 (1995), p. 28-41.
12. R.T. Rockafellar, Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm, *SIAM J. Control Optim.* 14 (1976), p. 877-898.
13. P. Tseng, Applications of a splitting algorithm to decomposition in convex programming and variational inequalities, *SIAM J. Control and Optimization* 29, 1(1991), p. 119-138.